UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,183	03/31/2004	Raymond P. Feith	11461-030-999 (CAM: 8066	7854
2387 Olson & Cepuri	7590 05/28/200 itis, LTD.		EXAMINER	
20 NORTH WA	ACKER DRIVE		VU, QUYNH-NHU HOANG	
36TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			3763	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/816,183	FEITH ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	QUYNH-NHU H. VU	3763
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 Ar</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-23 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accention and policion to the composite that any objection to the composite that the compos	n from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the B	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached Detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached Detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached Detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached Detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the Internation for a list of the priority documents application for a list of the priority documents application for a list of the priority application for a list of the priority documents application for	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/31/04 & 6/29/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Invention I, Species F in the reply filed on 4/24/08 is acknowledged.

Applicant's election with traverse of Species F, G and H in the reply filed on 4/24/08 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that Species F, G and H are same embodiment (Figs. 14-16). Examiner agrees at this point.

It is noted that there is no valve cage in Figs. 15-16 as Applicant recites in claims 7-8. Claims 7-8 belong to Figs. 17-18 (non elected species) with a cage 121. Therefore, Examiner will not exam claims 7-8.

Claims 4-8, 9-23 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected Group II & III, and Species A-E and I there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 4/24/08.

Applicant states that claims 4-6, 9-19 and 22-23 were cancelled in the preliminary amendment of March 31, 2004. However, there is no record show that these claims are cancelled by Applicant.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "a second valve seat" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the

Art Unit: 3763

brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Richmond (US 4,946,448).

Richmond discloses an injection port comprising: a housing defining a flow channel 80; an injection lumen 66; a valve element 84 having properties for forming a first, second and third valve seats 82, 83, 78.

It is well established that a recitation with respect to the manner in which an apparatus is intended to be employed, i.e. "for forming a second seal with second valve seat at a first pressure"; "for forming a second seal...in the flow channel"; "...for forming an open configuration ...said second pressure" of claim 1; the recitation "for forming a third seal with the third valve seat" of claim 2; the recitation "...for opening at least the first seal ...the flow channel" and "...for opening the third seal in response...into the injection lumen" of claim 3, functional limitations, do not impose any structural limitation upon the claimed apparatus which differentiates it from a prior art reference disclosing the structural limitations of the claims

Application/Control Number: 10/816,183 Page 4

Art Unit: 3763

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-3 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-25 of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,364,861. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are not structurally distinguishable from the claims in the patents.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quynh-Nhu H. Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-3228. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00 am to 3:00 pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nicholas D Lucchesi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763 Quynh-Nhu H. Vu Examiner Art Unit 3763